

OCT 05 2005

LAW OFFICES OF  
**McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC**

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY  
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200  
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817  
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100

FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376  
E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM  
SENDER'S E-MAIL: JHOWARD @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN  
PHILLIP E. MILLER†  
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER†  
JAMES E. HOWARD†  
JAMES N. DRESSER  
JOHN J. DRESCH  
SCOTT M. TULINO  
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT†

†MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

October 5, 2005

**VIA FACSIMILE**

To: Examiner Tan H. Trinh  
Group Art Unit: 2684  
U.S.P.T.O.

Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

From: James E. Howard

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Re: Enclosed Amendment Under 37 CFR §1.116  
U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/835,518  
Docket No.: WN-2323

Dear Examiner Trinh:

Enclosed for filing is an Amendment in response to the Final Office Action mailed on August 12, 2005, which should place the above-referenced case in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,

  
James E. Howard

JEH/geb

Enclosures

Total pages transmitted: 26

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**OCT 05 2005**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re Application of**

**Osamu ICHIYOSHI**

**Serial No.:** 09/835,518

**Group Art Unit:** 2684

**Filed:** April 17, 2001

**Examiner:** Trinh, Tan H.

**For:** SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM  
CAPABLE OF PROVIDING A LOT OF DATA IN RESPONSE TO A USER'S  
REQUEST

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
Box AF  
Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450

**AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated August 12, 2005, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

Claims 1-33 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 1, 8, and 26 are amended to more clearly define the invention. Claims 1, 8, 11, 16, and 19 are independent.